

Secondhand Smoke Exposure in Taxi Cabs in Turkey

Angela Aherrera¹, Walla Alfaraj¹, Hoda Magid², Asli Çarkoğlu³, Gül Ergör⁴, Mutlu Hayran⁵, Toker Egrüder⁶, Bekir Kaplan⁷, Jonathan Pollak¹, Jolie Susan^{1,8}, Joanna Cohen⁸, Ana Navas-Acien^{1,2}

¹Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore MD, USA, ²Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore MD, USA, ³Department of Psychology, Kadir Has University, Istanbul, Turkey, ⁴Izmir Dokuz Eylül School of Medicine, Izmir, Turkey ⁵Hacettepe University Cancer Institute, Ankara, Turkey, ⁶World Health Organization Country Office, Çankaya, Ankara, Turkey, ⁷Ministry of Health, General Directorate of Health Research, Ankara, Turkey, ⁸Institute for Global Tobacco Control, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

RATIONALE

INTRODUCTION

In 2008, Turkey passed its smoke-free law prohibiting smoking in public places. However, this law does not extend to motor vehicles. This poses significant health risks to secondhand smoke exposure (SHS)

OBJECTIVE

To identify taxi drivers' attitudes and behaviors in order to assess tobacco consumption and SHS in taxi cabs

METHODS

STUDY POPULATION

DATA COLLECTION

• This cross-sectional observational study, part of Secondhand Smoke Evaluation in Turkey (SHELT) was carried out from December 2012 to July 2013

STERN

STERN

- 356 taxi commercial vehicles \bullet were selected
- Interviews were conducted across 12 cities in Turkey:
 - Istanbul, Ankara, Izmir, Adana, Balikesir, Bursa, Erzurum, Gaziantep, Kayseri, Samsun, Trabzon, Van



- Fieldworkers observed smoking exposure in taxicabs: presence of cigarette butts, ashtrays, no smoking signs or penalty sign, cigarette packs; smell of tobacco smoke,
- Taxicab drivers were interviewed on their smoking status and smoking policy in vehicle

DATA ANALYSIS

- Descriptive analysis stratified by smokers to non-smokers (never and former smokers)
- Two-sample t-test with equal variances to compare differences between smokers and non-smokers

RESULTS

Taxi Driver characteristics and observations stratified by smoking status

- Among 356 taxi drivers observed, 65% identified themselves as smokers
 - > The mean age of taxi drivers observed was 43 years old
 - > Taxi drivers who smoked were younger than those who did not

In evaluating SHS exposure in taxicabs in Turkey, there was a high percentage of smoking and secondhand smoke exposure.

> 69% of taxi drivers who smoke and 2% of drivers who do not smoke report that

Table 1: Taxi Driver characteristics stratified by smoking status^a

	0verall (n = 356)	Smoker (n = 232)	Non-Smoker ^b $(n = 124)$	<i>p</i> value
Survey Questions	(1 000)	()	()	
Age, mean (SD)	43.1(10.9)	42.2 (10.6)	45.0 (11.2)	0.02
Self reported smoking status inside the taxi				
Always	30 (14.3)	30 (14.4)		
Sometimes	61 (29.1)	61 (29.1)		
Never	119 (56.7)	119 (56.7)		
Driver allows passengers to smoke	219(61.5)	179 (77.2)	40 (32.3)	< 0.001
Driver smokes when customers are in taxi	161 (45.2)	159 (68.5)	2 (1.60)	< 0.001
Driver smokes when customers allow	70 (19.7)	70 (30.2)		< 0.001
Driver smokes when customers smoke	92 (26.1)	92 (39.7)		< 0.001
Frequency of passengers smoking in taxi				
None	77 (21.6)	26 (11.2)	51 (41.1)	< 0.001
Rarely	69 (19.4)	46 (19.5)	24 (18.6)	
Some	165 (46.4)	125 (53.9)	40 (32.3)	
Many	45 (12.6)	35 (15.1)	10 (8.10)	
Driver fined by police because of smoking	2 (0.60)	2 (0.90)		0.31

smoking takes place inside the taxi when customers are present

> If customers allow taxi drivers to smoke, 20% of the drivers report smoking in the taxi.

Table 2: Taxicab observations stratified by driver's smoking status^a

	Overall (n = 356)	Smoker (n = 232)	Non-Smoker ^b (n = 124)	<i>p</i> value
Fieldworker Observations				
Taxi smells like smoke	52(14.60	51 (22.0)	1 (0.80)	< 0.001
Cigarette butts	6 (1.70)	6 (2.60)		0.07
Ashtrays	17 (4.80)	16 (7.00)	1 (0.80)	0.01
'No smoking' signs	176 (49.4)	109(47.0)	67 (54.0)	0.21
Fines or penalty signs	89 (25.0)	47 (20.3)	42 (33.9)	0.01
Adequate signage visibility	151 (84.8)	92 (82.9)	59 (88.1)	0.35
Visible cigarettes pack	13(3.70)	12 (5.20)	1(0.80)	0.04
Driver smoking during trip	18 (5.10)	18 (7.80)		< 0.001

a Values represent frequency and percentages b Non smokers include former and never smokers

a Values represent frequency and percentages b Non smokers include former and never smokers

Overall, less than 5% of drivers had visible cigarette packs and ashtrays in their taxis

- Less than 1% of smokers reported being fined by police because of smoking inside their taxi
- The smell of smoke was observed in more than half of the taxicabs (52%)
- > The presence of 'no smoking' signs as well as fines or penalty signs was lower among taxi drivers who smoked compared to those who did not
- Even though 49% and 84% had 'no smoking' signs and adequate signage visibility, respectively, 18% of the taxi drivers smoked during their trip with the fieldworkers present

CONCLUSIONS

- This study demonstrates a high smoking prevalence and low compliance among taxi drivers
 - > Majority of these taxicab drivers report smoking inside the taxi and also allow passengers to smoke inside the taxi
 - > Less than 1% of smoker taxicab drivers report receiving fines for smoking inside their vehicle
- Efforts should be taken to inform the public about the risks of secondhand smoke exposure in motor vehicles, even under optimal ventilation
- Stronger measures of enforcement to increase compliance for taxi drivers and passengers is needed