Access to tuberculosis diagnosis in Itaboraí City, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: the patient’s point of view
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SUMMARY

SETTING: Itaborai Municipality in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate access to tuberculosis (TB) diagnosis for users of the Family Health Program (FHP) and Reference Ambulatory Units (RAUs).

DESIGN: A cross-sectional study was conducted in Itaboraí City, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Between July and October 2007, a sample of 100 TB patients registered consecutively with the TB Control Program was interviewed using the primary care assessment tool. The two highest scores, describing ‘almost always’ and ‘always’, or ‘good’ and ‘very good’, were used as a cut-off point to define high quality access to diagnosis.

RESULTS: FHP patients were older and had less education than RAU interviewees. Sex and overcrowding did not differ in the two groups. Patient groups did not differ with regard to the number of times care was sought at a unit, transport problems, cost of attending units and availability of consultation within 24 h. Adequate access to diagnosis was identified by 62% of the FHP patients and 53% of the RAU patients (P = 0.01).

CONCLUSION: In Itaboraí, Rio de Janeiro, TB patients believe that the FHP units provide greater access to TB diagnosis than RAUs. These findings will be used by the Department of Health to improve access to diagnosis in Itaboraí.
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IN THE LAST TWO DECADES, developing countries have faced a progressive diminution in social budgets, and reliance on outdated and ineffective health systems,1 resulting in a dramatic increase in neglected diseases, particularly tuberculosis (TB).

Access to appropriate TB services remains a problem for people with low socio-economic status living in developing countries. The community-based, patient-oriented DOTS strategy appears to be an appropriate way of addressing many of these issues.2-7 In regions where health sector reform and DOTS have been implemented, however, few reports have focused on access to diagnosis in primary health care services.4-6

Brazil ranks eighteenth among the 22 high-burden TB countries in terms of annual number of cases (92,000), and has an incidence rate of 48 cases per 100,000 population per year. The state of Rio de Janeiro has the highest TB incidence rate (100/100,000/year) and the lowest detection rate (67%).7 In 1986, health sector reform began in Brazil and included decentralization of TB services, with a slow transition from a vertical program to an integrated program.8 The Family Health Program (FHP) was launched in 1994 as the main strategy for basic health services in the country.9 Despite the regulatory measures to increase efficiency and reduce inequalities proposed by the Unified Health System (UHS) in 1998, delivery of health care services throughout the country remains extremely aleatory.10

The FHP is a strategy of (re)orientation of the health care model and a means of consolidating the UHS, presenting the notion that health care must focus on the family and community. At the same time, Brazil’s traditional centralized Reference Ambulatory Units (RAUs), walk-in health care facilities for TB treatment, are still in operation.
The present study was conducted in Itaboraí City, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, where the FHP was implemented in 2000 and DOTS in 2002, with coverage of respectively 70% and 100%. Assuming that an effective TB control program requires early diagnosis and treatment, access to health services is the main component of the program. We evaluated access to TB diagnosis for users of the FHP and RAU.

METHOD

Itaboraí, located in the state of Rio de Janeiro, has a surface area of 428.6 km² and a population of 201,442 (source CITE 2004). The FHP, comprising 44 units, was implemented in 2002 and achieved 70% coverage. DOTS expansion occurred simultaneously, with a transfer of services to the health units. From 2000 to 2006, 1015 TB cases were notified, 75% of which were pulmonary TB.

In this cross-sectional study performed from July to October 2007, a sample of 100 TB patients consecutively registered with the TB Control Program of RJ City were interviewed. The sample sizes were the total number of patients under treatment in Itaboraí (in both RAUs and FHPs) that met the following inclusion criteria: age >18 years and being under treatment for at least 3 months. The primary care assessment tool (PCAT), developed by Starfield et al., and validated by Almeida and Macinko in Brazil, was adapted to evaluate TB services by two of the authors (TCSV and ARN). The tool presented closed questions using the Likert scale, with six options for answers ranging from 0 to 5. The value of zero was attributed to the categories ‘do not know’ or ‘does not apply’, 1 = always, 2 = almost always, 3 = sometimes, 4 = almost never, and 5 = never. Seven indicators, one for each question (variable) in the questionnaire, correspond to the sum of the answer categories of the patients from each health unit divided by total patients, to obtain an average value.

Approval for the study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Anna Nery School of Nursing/São Francisco de Assis Hospital, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro. All patients provided written informed consent.

Statistical analysis

The third tertile of the Likert scale (‘almost always’ and ‘always’) determined high quality access to diagnosis. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) table was used to compare units with regard to access to diagnosis indicators, and the condition of homocedasticity was verified by the Bartlett test. Access to diagnosis, taking into account all variables, was evaluated using the χ² association test. Computer software statistics were used to analyze the data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Patient characteristics</th>
<th>FHP (n = 44)</th>
<th>RAU (n = 56)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>64.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary incomplete</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary completed or higher</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age, years</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of rooms in household</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>58.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residents per household</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FHP = Family Health Program; RAU = Reference Ambulatory Unit.

RESULTS

Patients accessing health services at the FHPs and RAUs did not differ by sex, educational level, age, number of rooms in the household, or the number of residents per room in the household (Table 1). Our findings show a predominance of males, incomplete elementary schooling, an average of 40 years of age, five rooms in the household and four or more residents per room in the household in Itaboraí.

The number of times TB patients sought health care at the health unit, difficulty in finding transport to the unit, money spent on travelling to the unit, the need for motorized transport to visit the unit, consultation available within 24 h, and the use of the closest unit from home, were similar for both FHP and RAU patients. Patients attending the RAU were more likely to miss a day of work due to a consultation (P = 0.006; Table 2).

Access to diagnosis was found to be adequate for 62% of the FHP patients and for 53% of the RAU patients (P = 0.01).

DISCUSSION

Health sector reform in Brazil based its Unified Health System on a dense body of administrative tools for organizing decentralized service networks and institutionalizing a complex decision-making arena. Despite the regulatory measures taken to increase efficiency and reduce inequalities, the delivery of health care services remains very uneven across the country, and people in lower income groups experience more difficulties in accessing health services. Utilization rates vary widely according to type of service among income groups, positions in the labor market and educational levels.

In the last few decades, major public health system issues identified in Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil, have
been low DOTS coverage, poor detection and notification and a high default rate, resulting in the spread of TB. Health professionals do not follow guidelines, and greater political commitment is needed to ensure TB control both in the state and in the country.\textsuperscript{15}

This study, carried out in Itaborai City where DOTS has been implemented since 2002, showed that subjects who use the FHP consider access to TB diagnosis better than those using the RAU. This result reinforces the principles of the FHP, whose goal is to satisfy health needs with and within the community, as suggested by others.\textsuperscript{2,3,5,16,17}

In our study, there was no difference in health-seeking behavior between FHP and RAU patients regarding age, sex and educational level, as described in other studies,\textsuperscript{18–21} where women, the elderly and subjects with lower education levels are less likely to seek health care.

This study has the following limitations: we did not evaluate some variables associated with low access to health care, such as poor awareness of TB,\textsuperscript{19,22–25} low income,\textsuperscript{10,20,22,26} stigma,\textsuperscript{2,27,28} consultation with private practitioner, alcoholism and substance abuse,\textsuperscript{11,29} the sample size and the questionnaire.

One of the indicators for which a statistically significant difference was observed between the two groups studied was ‘loses a day’s work or misses an appointment due to a consultation’. We noticed here that the population that attended the RAU lost a day’s work more frequently than those treated under the FHP. One explanation for this fact may be the proximity of homes to FHP units, whereby the patient spends a minimal amount of time travelling to the FHP unit. The RAU is centrally located, and is frequently far from the patients’ homes, thus obliging them to miss an appointment or a day’s work. The health service provides patients with a health certificate for the employer, but the patient nevertheless misses any previously scheduled appointments.

A possible solution to this problem would be to extend health center opening hours on weekdays and during weekends, as suggested elsewhere.\textsuperscript{30} We know that this strategy demands more human resources and presents safety issues, both for the health professional and for the general population in the present scenario, where violence is an important factor that prevents patients and health workers from circulating.\textsuperscript{31} Another solution that has been discussed is the participation of the lay community\textsuperscript{12} as a partner in TB control and in improving access to TB health care. This strategy should be considered essential in the context of current public policies, where there seems to be an appraisal of the participation of the patient and civil society in decision-making on health.\textsuperscript{17,33}

Although Itaborai City has high DOTS coverage and a low default rate, our findings indicate that an unsatisfactory 62\% of FHP patients and 52.7\% of RAU patients consider that access to TB treatment is adequate. Innovative strategies need to be implemented to improve the provision of health care services. We believe that a strategic framework, enabling the poor to inform policy makers and health professionals of their needs, and a strengthening of the interface between policy research and practice are required. The actions proposed should focus on intensive work with community health agents, aimed at identifying the needs of the population that impact on access to TB diagnosis indicators in Itaborai, and including patients and/or their families, where indicated, in the decision-making process.\textsuperscript{11,19,33}
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\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\small
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Indicators & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{FHP} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{RAU} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{P value} \\
\hline & Median & SD & 95\%CI & Median & SD & 95\%CI \\
\hline Number of times health care sought at the unit & 4.34 & 1.35 & 3.92–4.75 & 4.38 & 1.24 & 4.05–4.72 & 0.88 \\
Transport problems & 3.36 & 1.90 & 2.75–3.96 & 3.29 & 1.90 & 2.77–3.80 & 0.85 \\
Loss of a day’s work or appointment & 3.88 & 1.70 & 3.36–4.40 & 2.87 & 1.85 & 2.37–3.37 & 0.01* \\
Need for motorized transport due to distance to unit & 2.20 & 1.78 & 1.66–2.74 & 1.96 & 1.60 & 1.52–2.39 & 0.48 \\
Money spent on transport to the unit & 2.68 & 1.92 & 2.09–3.26 & 2.41 & 1.83 & 1.92–2.91 & 0.49 \\
Consultation available within 24 h & 4.36 & 1.43 & 3.92–4.79 & 3.98 & 1.75 & 3.50–4.45 & 0.25 \\
Use of the nearest unit & 3.90 & 1.69 & 3.39–4.42 & 3.58 & 1.91 & 3.06–4.09 & 0.38 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Access to diagnosis indicators}
\end{table}
des soins dans une unité, les problèmes de transport, le fardeau financier lié aux visites aux unités et l'accès à une consultation dans les 24 h. Une accessibilité adéquate du diagnostic a pu être identifiée pour 62% des patients FHP et pour 53% des patients RAU ($P = 0,01$).

**CONCLUSION :** A Itaborai, Rio de Janeiro, les patients tuberculeux croient que les unités FHP assurent une accessibilité meilleure que l’RAU du diagnostic de la TB. Ces observations seront utilisées par le Département de la Santé en vue d’améliorer l’accès au diagnostic à Itaborai.

**RESUMEN**

**Marco de referencia :** El municipio de Itaborai en Río de Janeiro, Brasil.

**Objetivo :** Evaluar el acceso de los usuarios del programa de salud familiar (FHP) y de las unidades ambulatorias de referencia (RAU) al diagnóstico de la tuberculosis (TB).

**Métodos :** Se llevó a cabo un estudio transversal en la ciudad Itaborai, en el estado de Río de Janeiro, en Brasil. Se entrevistó a 100 pacientes con TB, registrados de forma consecutiva entre julio y octubre del 2007, aplicando la herramienta de evaluación de la atención primaria. Se utilizaron las puntuaciones más altas, descritas como ‘casi siempre’ y ‘siempre’ o ‘bien’ y ‘muy bien’, como límite para considerar que el acceso al diagnóstico era de alta calidad.

**Resultados :** Los pacientes del FHP fueron de edad mayor y poseían un grado de instrucción inferior que los entrevistados en las RAU. No se observó diferencia entre los grupos en materia de sexo y hacinamiento domiciliario. Los grupos de pacientes no dieron con respecto al número de veces que acudieron a una unidad en busca de atención, los problemas de transporte, el costo del desplazamiento hasta la unidad de atención ni la posibilidad de consulta la 24 h del día. Se observó un acceso adecuado al diagnóstico en 62% de los pacientes del FHP y 53% de los usuarios de las RAU ($P = 0,01$).

**Conclusión :** En la ciudad de Itaborai del estado de Río de Janeiro, los pacientes con TB consideran que las FHP ofrecen un mejor acceso al diagnóstico de la TB que las RAU. El departamento de salud utilizará estos datos con el fin de mejorar el acceso al diagnóstico de esta enfermedad en Itaborai.